

# COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION



10984/14

(OR. en)

## **PROVISIONAL VERSION**

PRESSE 341 PR CO 34

## PRESS RELEASE

3322nd Council meeting

# **Agriculture and Fisheries**

Luxembourg, 16 and 17 June 2014

President Georg

**Georgios KARASMANIS** 

Minister for Rural Development and Food of Greece

# PRESS

#### Main results of the Council

## School fruit, vegetables and milk scheme

The Presidency highlighted the progress achieved during the first half of 2014 on the proposals for regulations merging of the aid scheme for the supply of fruit and vegetables, bananas and milk in school. The proposal aims to streamline the existing schemes with a view to increasing their efficiency and effectiveness and reducing their administrative burden. The school milk scheme and the school fruit schemes were established in the EU in 1977 and 2007 respectively to promote the consumption of fruit and vegetable and milk products in schools. In the light of discussion in the Council the main issues identified are the legal basis of the proposal, the objective and the scope of the regime and the financing provisions.

### Future of dairy products

The Commission presented to the Council a report on the development of the market situation in the milk sector, as provided for in the "Milk Package" regulation which was designed with a view to the longer-term future of the dairy sector following the end of the quota system next year in March. The conclusions on the milk sector prepared by the Presidency could not be adopted by the Council: the next Presidency will have to decide on future work on this file.

#### Council conclusions

The Council adopted conclusions on the Commission report on the fruit and vegetable sector since the 2007 reform.

## Landing obligation and discards ban

Ministers took stock of the preparations for the adoption by the Council of its position regarding a proposal for a regulation on the landing obligation. In addition, the Member States gave an update on the state of play of the preparation of discard plans at the regional level in line with the preparation of the implementation of the new Common Fisheries Policy (CFP). This allowed Member States to exchange opinions, experiences and information.

## Animal health, plant health, seeds market and controls

The Presidency presented to the Council its progress report on the package for animal and plant health and controls. In this package the proposal on plant reproductive material the Commission proposal is the most controversial and was rejected at first reading by the European Parliament. In light of the outcome of this vote, the Presidency gathered the views of Member States on the architecture of a possible revised Commission proposal to suggest a new architecture for the regulation.

#### Other items

The Council adopted a decision authorising the opening of negotiations on agreements between the EU and third countries on trade in organic products. This should better balance these negotiations which are currently established on a system of unilateral equivalency.

The Council adopted also a regulation setting up a public-private partnership called Shift2Rail joint undertaking to boost rail innovation in Europe. It also adopted a regulation extending the mandate of the SESAR joint undertaking until the end of 2024.

# CONTENTS<sup>1</sup>

PARTICIPANTS	6
ITEMS DEBATED	
AGRICULTURE	8
School fruit, vegetables and milk scheme	8
Future of the dairy sector	9
Implementation of the new Common Agricultural Policy	10
Fruit and vegetable sector - Council conclusions	11
FISHERIES	12
Proposal for a regulation on the landing obligation	12
Implementation of the CFP: discard Plans	13
Any other business	14
— Indication of the origin for meat	14
Consequences of storms on forests	15
Conference on scientific support to agriculture	16
Emission ceilings for certain atmospheric pollutants	16
Animal health, plant health, seeds market and controls	17
Use of antimicrobials in animal farming	
Management of capelin - state of play	18

<sup>•</sup> Where declarations, conclusions or resolutions have been formally adopted by the Council, this is indicated in the heading for the item concerned and the text is placed between quotation marks.

<sup>•</sup> Documents for which references are given in the text are available on the Council's Internet site (http://www.consilium.europa.eu).

<sup>•</sup> Acts adopted with statements for the Council minutes which may be released to the public are indicated by an asterisk; these statements are available on the Council's Internet site or may be obtained from the Press Office.

# OTHER ITEMS APPROVED

## *AGRICULTURE*

_	Trade in organic products - Negotiations on agreements between the EU and third countries	19
FOF	REIGN AFFAIRS	
_	Association Agreements with Georgia and the Republic of Moldova	19
_	EU financial support to the Palestinian Authority	20
_	Action against anti-personnel mines.	21
ECC	ONOMIC AND FINANCIAL AFFAIRS	
_	External auditor of the Bank of Malta	23
JUS	TICE AND HOME AFFAIRS	
_	Europol - Montenegro	23
FOC	OD LAW	
_	EFSA management board members	24
TRA	NSPORT	
_	SESAR joint undertaking	25
_	Shift2Rail joint undertaking	25
NU	CLEAR ENERGY	
_	Association Agreement with Georgia.	25
_	Association Agreement with Moldova	26

10984/14

5

## **PARTICIPANTS**

Belgium:

Ms Sabine LARUELLE Minister for the Middle Classes, SMEs, the Self-employed

and Agriculture

Bulgaria:

Mr Dimitar GREKOV Minister for Agriculture and Food

**Czech Republic:** 

Mr Marian JUREČKA Minister for Agriculture

Denmark:

Mr Dan JØRGENSEN Minister for Food, Agriculture and Fisheries

**Germany:** 

Mr Christian SCHMIDT Federal Minister for Food and Agriculture

Mr Robert KLOOS State Secretary, Federal Ministry of Food and Agriculture

Estonia:

Mr Ivari PADAR Minister for Agriculture

Mr Clyde KULL Deputy Permanent Representative

**Ireland:** 

Mr Simon COVENEY Minister for Agriculture, Food and the Marine

Greece:

Mr Georgios KARASMANIS Minister for Rural Development and Food

Mr Dimitrios MELAS Ministry of Rural Development and Food –

General Secretary for Agricultural Policy and International

Relations

Spain:

Ms Isabel GARCIA TEJERINA Minister for Agriculture, Food and the Environment

France:

Mr Alexis DUTERTRE Deputy Permanent Representative

Croatia:

Ms Snježana ŠPANJOL Deputy Minister for Agriculture

Italy:

Mr Giuseppe CASTIGLIONE State Secretary for Agricultural, Food and Forestry Policy

Cyprus:

Mr Nicos KOUYIALIS Minister for Agriculture. Natural Resources and

Environment

<u>Latvia:</u>

Mr Gatis ĀBELE Parliamentary Secretary, Ministry of Agriculture

Lithuania:

Mr Vigilijus JUKNA Minister for Agriculture

**Luxembourg:** 

Mr Fernand ETGEN

Minister for Agriculture, Viticulture and Consumer

Protection, Minister for Relations with the Parliament

**Hungary:** 

Mr György CZERVÁN State Secretary for the Agricultural Economy, Ministry of

Rural Development

Malta:

Mr Roderick GALDES Parliamentary Secretary for Agriculture, Fisheries and

Animal Rights within the Ministry for Sustainable Development, the Environment and Climate Change

#### PROVISIONAL VERSION

Netherlands:

Mr Wepke KINGMA Deputy Permanent Representative

Mr Andrä RUPPRECHTER Federal Minister for Agriculture, Forestry, the

Environment and Water Management

Poland:

Mr Marek SAWICKI Minister for Agriculture and Rural Development Mr Kazimierz Florian PLOCKE

State Secretary, Ministry of Agriculture and Rural

Development

Portugal:

Ms Assunção CRISTAS Minister for Agriculture and Maritime Affairs

Mr José DIOGO ALBUQUERQUE State Secretary for Agriculture Mr Manuel PINTO DE ABREU State Secretary for Maritime Affairs

Romania:

Mr George TURTOI State Secretary, Ministry of Agriculture and Rural

Development

Deputy Permanent Representative

Mr Cristian BADESCU

Mr Dejan ŽIDAN Deputy Prime Minister, Minister for Agriculture and

Environment

Ms Tanja STRNIŠA State Secretary at the Ministry of Agriculture and

Environment

Slovakia:

Mr Ľubomír JAHNÁTEK Minister for Agriculture and Rural Development

Finland:

Mr Jari KOSKINEN Minister for Agriculture and Forestry

Sweden:

Mr Eskil ERLANDSSON Minister for Rural Affairs

**United Kingdom:** 

Mr Georges EUSTICE Parliamentary Under Secretary of State for Farming, Food

and Marine Environment, Department for Environment,

Food and Rural Affairs

Mr Richard LOCHHEAD Cabinet Secretary for Rural Affairs and the Environment

Mr Alun DAVIES Minister for Natural Resources and Food

<u>Commission:</u> Mr Dacian CIOLOŞ Member Mr Tonio BORG Member

## **ITEMS DEBATED**

## **AGRICULTURE**

## School fruit, vegetables and milk scheme

The Presidency presented its progress report ( $\underline{10456/14}$ ) on the Commission proposals for regulations merging the schemes for the supply of fruit and vegetables, bananas and milk in schools. (5958/14)

The Presidency report highlights the progress achieved on these Commission proposals in the first half of 2014, after the initial Commission presentation to Council in February and was drawn up under the responsibility of the Presidency on the basis of the positions expressed within the Council and its preparatory bodies.

One of the two proposals amends the new regulation establishing the Common Market Organisation (CMO) adopted in the framework of the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) reform. This amendment aims to streamline the existing schemes with a view to increasing their efficiency and effectiveness and reducing the administrative burden. The school milk scheme and the school fruit scheme were established in the EU in 1977 and 2007 respectively to promote the consumption of milk products and of fruit and vegetables in schools. As a supplement to this proposal, the Commission has also tabled a proposal amending the regulation determining measures on fixing certain aids and refunds related to the CMO (6054/14).

In light of the discussions in the Council, the Presidency has identified the following main issues:

- <u>legal basis of the proposals</u>: on this issue, delegations unanimously supported the Council Legal Service's view that Article 43(3) TFEU (Council competence) - and not Article 43(2) TFEU (ordinary legislative procedure) - is the correct legal basis for the fixing of the level of aid;
- objective and the scope of the regime: in general, delegations shared the objective of merging the school schemes, thus increasing their efficiency and effectiveness and consolidating the legal and financial framework. They also confirmed the original objectives of the schemes, i.e. the promotion of the consumption of fruit, vegetables and milk, underlying the nutritional benefits for children. On the other hand, while a few delegations could support a narrow list of eligible products for regular distribution as suggested by the Commission, many others considered the proposed scope unsatisfactory and preferred the scope of the existing scheme notably as far as dairy products are concerned.

financing provisions: if most delegations could support the amount of the total envelopes for fruit and vegetables, including bananas, and for milk, the criteria for the allocation of EU aid were discussed extensively. Several delegations contested the choice of the criterion of "the historical use of funds under previous schemes for the supply of milk and milk products to children" to determine the milk envelope. They considered that it could be detrimental to those Member States that so far had not fully benefited from the school milk scheme or had no historical record having joined the EU only recently. However a number of other delegations considered the criterion of the historical use of funds particularly important to ensure that there would be no disruption in the functioning of the school milk scheme in their Member State.

The European Parliament is expected to start its work on the proposal in early autumn, after the electoral recess.

## **Future of the dairy sector**

The Commission presented to the Council a report on the development of the market situation in the milk sector, as provided for in the "Milk Package" regulation which was designed with a view to the longer-term future of the dairy sector following the end of the quota system in 2015. It has been fully applicable since 3 October 2012. (10911/14).

Most member states welcomed the Commission report which assesses in particular the effects of the measures of the "Milk Package" on milk producers and milk production in disadvantaged regions and cover potential incentives to encourage farmers to enter into joint production agreements.

The report notes that the EU milk market situation is currently favourable and that the medium term prospects are good, even if the extreme price volatility can interfere. The Commission detailed how the "Milk Package" was transposed in national legislation leading in some cases to compulsory contracts between farmers and processors or to codes of good practice in other cases. Almost all Member States have adopted national criteria for the recognition of producer organisations. and collective negotiations under the "Milk Package" have been conducted by recognised producer organisations in some Member States. However, the report concludes also that it is too early to see significant effects of the Milk Package on the milk sector in disadvantaged regions.

As a supplementary tool to the "Milk Package", a European Milk Market Observatory was launched and is currently being implemented by the Commission services. This observatory monitors this specific market and intends to give quantitative and qualitative tools for economic operators to increase their market awareness and help them with their business decisions.

Member states still expressed strong diverging views on the issue of dairy market in the last year of the quota system. Some delegations considered that in the context of the current good market conditions it would be necessary to reduce the penalties for those countries who risk exceeding their national milk quotas, by adjusting the fat correction coefficients to reduce the "super levy" paid in this case. However, a number of delegations were opposed to this request considering that the rules defined in 2008 for the end of quota regime should be applied strictly to avoid a distortion of competition within the EU.

Considering this, the conclusions on the milk sector prepared by the Presidency could finally not be adopted by the Council. The Hellenic Presidency leave to the next Presidency to decide on future work on this file.

## Implementation of the new Common Agricultural Policy

Ministers had an exchange of views on how member states intend to implement at national level the key elements of the new Common Agricultural Policy (CAP), particularly as regards direct payments (10476/14).

The new CAP regulatory framework was adopted at the end of last year; after a transitional period this year, most of the measures provided for in the text will apply across the EU from 1 January 2015.

By 1 August 2014 Member States have to notify to the Commission their decisions on a number of key elements of the CAP reform "Direct Payments Regulation" (Regulation 1307/2013), particularly as regards:

- the use of the national envelopes (eg. the parts reserved for voluntary coupled support, the young farmers scheme and areas with natural constraints, the use of the mechanism to avoid unused funds and the simplified scheme for small farmers);
- the possibility to transfer funds between the two CAP pillars (if such decision was not yet notified by 31 December 2013);
- the reduction of the amount of direct payments above EUR 150 000 (i.e. the possibility to go beyond the 5% minimum rate);
- the setting-up of the basic payment scheme (eg. the application at national or regional level, the possibility to keep existing entitlements);

#### **PROVISIONAL VERSION**

- the choice of continuing with the Single Area Payment Scheme (SAPS) or switching to the new basic payment scheme;
- the pace and rhythm of internal convergence (moving towards flat-fate direct payments level at national or regional level);
- the introduction of the redistributive payment;
- the application of certain greening provisions (eg. the types of ecological focus area applicable to their territory, the application of weighting factors, the possibility of maintaining permanent grassland at holding level);

The most important flexibility element in the new CAP is the possibility to transfer funds between the CAP pillars. Some Member States indicated their intention to use this possibility. Some of them will shift funds from the 2nd to the 1st pillar and others will shift funds in the opposite direction.

Member States recalled that they still have a number of questions regarding the implementation of the "green payment" pending with the Commission..

## Fruit and vegetable sector - Council conclusions

The Council adopted <u>conclusions</u> on the Commission report on the implementation of the provisions concerning producer organisations, operational funds and operational programmes in the fruit and vegetable sector since the 2007 reform.

The Commission report (7312/14) assesses that the 2007 reform has generated an increase in the share of the total value of EU fruit and vegetable production marketed by producers' organisations. However, it notes also that the degree of organisation between producers remains low in some member states and that there are differences in the degree of organisation between producers in different member states and in different regions. The Council therefore believes that producers' organisations working within sustainable operational programmes under regulation 1308/2013 should continue to play a central role in achieving the objectives of the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) for the fruit and vegetables sector. The Council invites the Commission to review and analyse the underlying reasons and possible improvements to better achieve the objectives set by the CAP in this sector, and, after an adequate period of implementation of the new regulation, if appropriate, take the necessary initiatives. A joint statement of ten Member States as well as a statement by the Commission are included in the Council minutes.

## **FISHERIES**

#### Proposal for a regulation on the landing obligation

The ministers took stock of the preparations for the adoption of the Council position regarding a proposal for a regulation on the landing obligation (or "Omnibus" regulation) (18021/13).

While generally supporting the Presidency compromise with a view to finalise the work before the end of this year, some Member States expressed diverging views about some key open issues, such as the elimination of minimum conservation reference sizes (MCRS) for the pelagic species in the North-East Atlantic or the introduction of exemptions for undersized marine organisms.

The Commission proposed the "Omnibus" regulation in order to facilitate the upcoming implementation of the discards ban, as agreed in the Common Fisheries Policy (CFP) reform context. This proposal represents a temporary and urgently needed solution, which needs to be adopted by the end of this year. It consists of a series of amendments to a number of regulations establishing fisheries technical measures and control rules. The Council is preparing its position with a view to starting negotiations with the European Parliament in the second half of this year, aiming for the adoption of the regulation by the end of 2014.

One of the central objectives of the reform of the current CFP is the progressive elimination of discards in all EU fisheries through the introduction of a landing obligation (Article 15 of regulation 1380/2013<sup>1</sup>). This is designed to make better use of the available resources, and responds to public expectation to end the practice of throwing marketable fish back into the sea. High levels of discards are identified as an important factor behind the lack of environmental sustainability of the CFP.

The European Parliament and the Council agreed on the gradual introduction of the landing obligation with 1 January 2015 as the starting date for implementation. In order to make the landing obligation operational certain provisions within the current regulations on technical measures, management measures and control that run contrary to the landing obligation and oblige fishermen to discard fish must be removed or amended. However, this new framework certainly cannot be in place in time for the first group of fisheries to be covered under the landing obligation. Therefore legislation is required to remove any legal and practical impediments to implementation on a transitional basis while this new framework is being developed.

OJ L354, 28.12.2013, p. 22

As regards the technical measures regulations, several provisions contained in the current regulations contradict the landing obligation and oblige fishermen to discard, which means that, minimum landing sizes, catch composition rules and by-catch provisions have to be amended. The EU control system for ensuring compliance with the rules of the CFP also needs to be aligned with the landing obligation.

As the first group of fisheries will be subject to the landing obligation in 2015, the relevant provisions of the regulations on technical measures, management measures and control measures should be amended by this regulation to ensure timely removal of legal obstacles to applying the landing obligation.

## Implementation of the CFP: discard Plans

The Commission provided to the Council a document updating on the state of play of the preparation of discard plans by Member States, with a view to the preparation of related delegated acts, which are to be adopted before the end of 2014 (10217/14). During this meeting ministers had an exchange of views on the current situation of the discards plans preparation to exchange experiences on best practices and share information on key issues and concerns (10872/14).

Ministers from Member States currently chairing regional groups welcomed the preparation of discards plan agreed at sea basin levels (Baltic region, North Sea, Mediterranean, South Western Waters...). In most of cases, these plans are about to be forwarded to the Commission in the form of joint recommendations for the Commission to transform them into EU law by adopting a delegated act before the end of the year. Many delegations recognised a real added-value in the work performed at regional level which adapts to the specificity of fish stocks and involves professional operators. However some member states highlighted the need to adopt common approaches between the various regional recommendations to avoid discrepancies.

The delegations raised also some key issues which appeared during the preparation of the preparation of the discards plans such as:

- the need to determine if technical measures may be included in the regional discard plans;
- the need to clarify and harmonise the interpretation of the *de minimis* rule included in the Basic Regulation;
- solutions for handling damaged fish.

With the new CFP, the landing obligation is introduced gradually for all fisheries (see previous point). On 1 January 2015 the following fisheries (in EU waters) will be subject to the landing obligation: small pelagic fisheries, large pelagic fisheries, industrial fisheries, fisheries for salmon and fisheries in the Baltic Sea.

The new CFP provides for a series of provisions to facilitate the implementation of the landing obligation. There are generic flexibility provisions which can be applied by member states in the context of the annual management of their national quotas. In addition, the new CFP provides for specific flexibility tools that need to be activated through multiannual plans, or in the absence of multiannual plans, in so-called discard plans (whose validity is limited to 3 years). These flexibility tools are:

- exemptions for species with demonstrated high survival rates when returned to the sea;
- de minimis exemptions, under certain conditions, of up to 5 % of total annual catches (with possibility of a higher percentage in the first four years).

## Any other business

## Indication of the origin for meat

The Commission informed the Council about the European Parliament's resolution of 6 February 2014 concerning Commission implementing regulation 1337/2013 laying down rules for the application of mandatory indication of the country of origin or place of provenance for fresh chilled and frozen meat from pigs, sheep, goats and poultry (10857/14).

A number of member States supported the Commission and considers that, unlike what the resolution from the European Parliament states, it would be extremely difficult and very expensive to apply to swine, sheep, goat and poultry meat, rules equivalent to those applicable to beef meat.

#### **PROVISIONAL VERSION**

When regulation 1169/2011¹ on food labelling was discussed back in 2010, it was agreed that a mandatory indication of the origin or place of provenance for swine, sheep, goats and poultry unprocessed meat would require further impact assessments. Following that, the Commission would then have to further consider the options for the modalities of expressing the origin of those foods, in particular with respect to the place of birth, the place of rearing and the place of slaughter of the animals. In this respect, regulation 1169/2011 mandated the Commission to adopt the necessary rules by implementing acts. On this basis, the Commission adopted the implementing regulation 1337/2013² providing for the compulsory indication of the Member State or third country of « rearing » and « slaughter » of the animals.

In a resolution adopted on 6 February 2014, the European Parliament, considered that the Commission exceeded the implementing powers conferred under Regulation 1169/2011 and called on the Commission to draw up a new regulation, applying to the concerned foods exactly the same rules existing in the legislation applicable to beef meat: indication of places of birth, rearing and slaughter and excluding any derogation for minced meat and trimmings.

## Consequences of storms on forests

At the request of the Slovak delegation, the Council took stock of the difficult situation that the forest sector is facing due to the storms which affected this country in mid-May this year (10765/14).

On 14 and 15 May 2014, high rainfall and strong winds occurred over the whole territory of the Slovak Republic. Soil and tree crowns saturated with rain water together with high wind speeds caused wide scale damage to trees and destruction of forest stands across large areas of the country.

While acknowledging that in this situation Slovakia could turn to the assistance of the EU solidarity fund, the Commission noted that other options are made available, such as specific measures in rural development programmes or states aids specifically focused on forests protection.

-

10984/14 15

OJ L304, 22.11.2011, p.18

Commission implementing Regulation (EU) No 1337/2013 of 13 December 2013 laying down the rules for the application of Regulation (EU) No 1169/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards the indication of the country of origin or place of provenance for fresh, chilled and frozen meat of swine, sheep, goats and poultry.

## Conference on scientific support to agriculture

The Presidency presented to the ministers the main outcomes of a high-level conference "Scientific support to agriculture: competitiveness, quality and sustainability" which took place in Athens on 23 April 2014 (10874/14).

In the future, the agricultural productivity needs to be increased in order to ensure food security for a growing population. In addition, biomass production has also to be raised in order to produce energy and industrial products. Both of these challenges have to be faced bearing in mind the uncertainty caused by the impacts of climate change and the general acceptance that expansion of agriculture must be achieved through an approach that also encompasses sustainability of our environment and natural resources.

The conference was organised jointly by the Hellenic Presidency and the European Commission's Joint Research Centre (JRC) with the aim of stimulating debate and improving the science-based understanding of these challenges.

## - Emission ceilings for certain atmospheric pollutants

The Hungarian delegation supported by Belgium, Estonia, Croatia, the Slovak Republic and Latvia, requested the Commission to report regularly to the Agriculture Council on the "Clean Air Policy Package" and on the national emission ceilings for certain atmospheric pollutants ("NEC Directive") (10633/14). A first report on this issue was presented at the Agriculture Council held on December 2013.

Many delegations, in addition to those which originally supported the Hungarian request, agreed that considering how this proposal could affect agriculture, the Agriculture Council should be regularly kept informed of this file which is dealt with by the Environment Council.

The Commission presented the "Clean Air Policy Package" in December 2013. According to the proposal new national emission reduction obligations would be set from 2020 as well as from 2030 for sulphur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, non-methane volatile organic compounds, ammonia fine particulate matter (PM2,5) and methane. The emission reduction obligations set out in the abovementioned proposal are particularly relevant for the farming sector, especially the reduction of ammonia and methane, since emission ceilings would substantially affect the EU agriculture in its entirety.

## Animal health, plant health, seeds market and controls

The Presidency presented to the Council its progress report on the package for animal and plant health and controls ( $\frac{10629/14}{2}$ ) with a special focus on the proposal on plant reproductive material ( $\frac{10618/14}{2}$ ).

As regard the plant health proposal, delegations expressed notably diverging views on the approach to the import of potentially infected plants. Several delegations supported the Commission proposal which promotes an "open" system and a negative list (i.e. all plants/plant products not mentioned in the list can be freely imported) while a number of member states would like to reverse the strategy to a "closed" system and a positive list (only plants/plant products mentioned in the list can be freely imported).

Concerning the proposal on the EU plant reproductive material regime was rejected at first reading by the European Parliament on 11 March 2014. In the light of the outcome of this vote, the Presidency gathered the views of Member States on a possible revised Commission proposal suggesting a new architecture for the regulation. Many delegations supported the Presidency option. In addition some Member States recalled that this regulation should alleviate the rules or make some exemptions for forestry reproductive material or non-professional operators in order to simplify the procedure.

This package of measures aims to strengthen the enforcement of health and safety standards for the whole agri-food chain. The package comprises proposals for regulations:

- to review the regulatory framework on plant health;
- to ensure the health, identity and quality of **plant reproductive material**;
- to simplify the body of legislation that regulates animal health;
- to review and clarify the rules on **official controls** along the food chain;
- to manage expenditures through a common financial framework for food and feed that modernises the existing financial provisions.

The Council has already adopted (8 May 2014) one of the regulations of this package laying down provisions for the management of expenditure of the measures proposed following a first-reading agreement with the European Parliament (PE-CONS 24/14).

Work on this package in the Council began in June last year.

## Use of antimicrobials in animal farming

The Swedish and the Danish delegations requested the Commission to report on the outcome of the various measures proposed in its action plan to fight the spread of antibiotic resistance (10828/14).

Several delegations supported the request from Sweden and Denmark. On this issue, the Commission pointed out that a progress report establishing how the action plan has been implemented is due later this year. Furthermore, proposals for a review of the legislation on veterinary medicines and medicated feed should be presented soon by the Commission as well as guidelines on the use of veterinary antimicrobials.

The use of antimicrobials essential for the treatment of infections in humans and animals is seriously threatened by the development and spread of bacteria strains resistant to the most common antibiotics.

The problem has been recognized by both the Council and the European Parliament, and the Commission has taken several important steps, both in human medicine and in animal husbandry. For example, it has reinforced control legislation, made recommendations on antibiotic use and reporting, and invested funds into research on new drugs. Although the actions taken so far go in the right direction, they have not succeeded in containing the rising threat from antibiotic resistance. The Commission therefore launched in autumn 2011 a action plan for five years with 12 concrete actions to stem the emergence and spread of antibiotic resistance.

## Management of capelin - state of play

The Danish delegation briefed the Council on the need for a swift establishment of a total allowable catch (TAC) for capelin for 2014.

This issue is of particular importance for Denmark, which is the main stakeholder in the capelin fisheries. Owing to the short-lived nature of this species, the fishing season for capelin begins normally on 20 June. The scientific advice for this stock generally becomes available just before the beginning of the fishing season but the management of this particular fish stock is shared between the EU, Greenland and Iceland. This year the scientific advice for 2014 was published on 7 May. The capelin offer to the EU by the Greenland authorities has just been submitted recently. This will allow the preparation and adoption of a specific decision on this issue.

## OTHER ITEMS APPROVED

## **AGRICULTURE**

## Trade in organic products - Negotiations on agreements between the EU and third countries

The Council adopted a decision authorising the opening of negotiations on agreements between the EU and third countries on trade in organic products. In the future, this should better balance these negotiations which are currently established on a system of unilateral equivalency. The current system leads to a recognition by the EU as equivalent third countries standards for organic products without any reciprocity for EU organic products.

The ongoing review of the legal framework of the organic production sector has revealed shortcomings in the current scheme of recognition of third countries for the purpose of equivalence.

In its conclusions on organic farming of the 3237th Agriculture and Fisheries Council meeting, the Council encouraged the Commission to improve the current mechanisms to facilitate international trade in organic products and require reciprocity and transparency in any trade agreements. The Commission and the member states were called on to ensure that the import procedure is robust and does not put EU operators at a disadvantage.

The Commission has put in place regulation 442/2014 on a temporary basis until 1 July 2014 for inclusion of a third country in the list of third countries recognised for the purpose of equivalence in relation to the import of organic products. The decision adopted today will ensure the continuity and enable the Commission to negotiate agreements between the EU and third countries after this date.

#### **FOREIGN AFFAIRS**

#### Association Agreements with Georgia and the Republic of Moldova

The Council approved the signing and conclusion of the Association Agreements between the EU and Georgia as well as between the EU and the Republic of Moldova. It also approved the provisional application of both Association Agreements. For more information, see press release.

## EU financial support to the Palestinian Authority

The Council adopted the following conclusions on the European Court of Auditors' report on EU direct financial support to the Palestinian Authority:

- "1. The Council welcomes the report of the Court of Auditors No.14/2013 published on 11 December 2013 on EU Direct Financial Support to the Palestinian Authority through the PEGASE mechanism, which it has thoroughly studied.
- 2. The Council welcomes in particular the Court's Conclusion that the Commission and the EEAS services have succeeded in implementing direct financial support in spite of difficult circumstances, that the financial procedures put in place are robust and that there is no evidence of corruption, mismanagement or diversion of funds.
- 3. The Council agreed that, in line with the EU's objectives in the Middle East Peace Process, PEGASE has fulfilled the aim of making a tangible contribution to the preparation of a two-state solution. The Council also notes the Court's conclusion that some aspects of the PEGASE mechanism need to be reviewed to ensure its sustainability. Specific risk assessments beyond the eligibility criteria could also be considered.
- 4. In considering the Court's observations, the Council stressed the importance of recognising the complex and difficult political circumstances of the EU's co-operation with the Palestinian Authority including the context of Israeli occupation, the fact that the PA does not yet have the powers of a state government and that part of the occupied Palestinian territory, the Gaza Strip, is run by de facto authorities with which the EU has no political relations.
- 5. The Council takes note of the recommendations of the Court. The Council acknowledges that the majority of the Court's recommendations are already being implemented. The Council notes that both the EEAS and the Commission services already review the PEGASE mechanism on a regular basis, taking account of changes on the ground. The Council also notes that the Commission has already taken the required steps to reduce the costs of administering PEGASE including through the use of competitive tendering. The Council also welcomes the recommendations to link the PEGASE mechanism more closely to the EU-PA European Neighbourhood Policy Action Plan adopted in 2013.

- 6. Concerning the issue of those civil servants in Gaza who are not able to work due to the political situation, the Council underlined that it is politically important to continue supporting the Palestinian Authority's decision to pay its workers in the Gaza Strip as a key element of maintaining the PA's presence in Gaza and the unity of a future State of Palestine. The Council noted that the EEAS and the Commission services have agreed to enter into discussions with the PA to find a solution that would take into account the concerns of the Court while allowing the PA to continue supporting its employees in Gaza. The Council stressed that reforms need to be made where possible while acknowledging that it is important to carefully assess the political implications of any changes to the current practice.
- 7. Concerning conditionality, the Council notes that it is key that performance indicators will be introduced in the areas suggested by the Court, bearing in mind that many of the elements required to fulfil these indicators lie partly or wholly outside the control of the Palestinian Authority. In this regard, the Council concurs with the view of the EEAS and the Commission services that the unique and difficult circumstances in which the programme is implemented must be taken into account. The Council also notes that, in line with one of the recommendation of the Court, the Commission has already started providing comprehensive support to the PA for its civil service reform. In this regard, the Council calls on the PA to accelerate reforms in its civil service, to proceed with reforms in public finance management and to pursue further dialogue with the EU and other donors in the relevant sector working groups.
- 8. The Council also acknowledges that the Commission and the EEAS services have actively sought to ensure Israeli co-operation in the implementation of EU direct financial support to the PA and calls on the Israeli authorities to take steps that would render the EU's financial assistance more effective."

## Action against anti-personnel mines

The Council adopted the following conclusions on the third review conference of the Convention on the prohibition of the use, stockpiling, production and transfer of anti-personnel mines and on their destruction ("Ottawa Convention"):

"1. The Council recalls that the EU is united in pursuing the objectives of the Anti-Personnel Mine Ban Convention, with all 28 EU Member States now States Parties, and that the EU and its Member States have a long history of support for mine clearance and the destruction of stockpiled anti-personnel mines, as well as for assistance to victims of anti-personnel mines.

- 2. The Council welcomes the upcoming Third Review Conference of the Anti-Personnel Mine Ban Convention which will take place from 23 27 June 2014 in Maputo, Mozambique. The Council expresses its hope that this conference will be a success and will further reaffirm our shared commitment to end the suffering caused by the use of anti-personnel mines across the world.
- 3. The Council recalls that States Parties to the Convention have undertaken never under any circumstances to use anti-personnel mines. In that context, the EU appeals to all State and non-State actors to refrain from the use of anti-personnel mines.
- 4. The Council considers that the Third Review Conference equally presents an opportunity to agree on concrete plans as well as on a realistic set of activities to ensure further progress in the next phase of the implementation of the Convention's provisions and objectives, taking into account both its accomplishments and new challenges.
- 5. The Council reiterates the European Union's unwavering support to States Parties in their full and effective implementation of the Convention, and its commitment to promote universalisation of the Convention, to provide resources to fund mine action, and concrete and sustainable assistance to anti-personnel mine victims, their families and communities. In this context it recalls Council Decision 2012/700/CFSP adopted on 13th November 2012 in support of the implementation of the Cartagena Action Plan.
- 6. The EU and its Member States have contributed more than 500 Million Euro since the last Review Conference in Cartagena, Columbia, representing more than one third of the world's financial assistance to mine action, and thus making the EU and its Member States the leading donor in this area. EU support has been decisive in reversing difficult situations in several countries. The Council recalls that, for example in Afghanistan, 89 million Euro have been spent by EU institutions over the last ten years, contributing in addition to the funds provided by individual Member States and other members of the international community, to clearing 78% of Afghanistan's minefields and building the capacity of the government of Afghanistan to deal with the devastating effects of anti-personnel mines.
- 7. The Council underlines the strong linkage with the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, which provides a wider framework to comprehensively address the needs of survivors of anti-personnel mine explosions, the realisation of their political as well as social and economic rights and to ensure respect for their inherent dignity.

- 8. The Council encourages all States Parties to continue to work in a spirit of cooperation during the Third Review Conference, keeping in mind the Convention's vision of a conclusive end to the suffering and casualties caused by anti-personnel mines. The implementation of the Maputo Action Plan to be adopted at the Third Review Conference will depend on the efficiency of the Convention's working structures as well as on transparency and reporting by States Parties and on their full engagement. The EU and its Member States will aim at making these structures function well. Their performance will depend on the willingness and efficiency of their members. Efficiency also means that the costs of the various meetings related to the implementation of the Convention be optimised, and their schedule rationalised.
- 9. The Council reaffirms the commitment of the EU to continue its support to States Parties in their implementation of the Convention to ensure that we can work effectively in order to reach the Convention's objectives. This should be done in a way which reinforces the Convention's historic culture of partnership and collaboration between States Parties, the United Nations, other relevant international organisations or institutions, regional organisations, the International Committee of the Red Cross, and relevant nongovernmental organisations. The European Union will continue to support efforts towards full universalisation of the Convention, while also promoting adherence to individual norms of the Convention by non-States Parties. The European Union will continue to cooperate with and, where possible, extend assistance to those States Parties that most need support in meeting their commitments under the Convention, and to non-States Parties that significantly support mine action; this will continue to be carried out in coordination with other donors, and in close cooperation with the countries concerned."

## **ECONOMIC AND FINANCIAL AFFAIRS**

#### External auditor of the Bank of Malta

The Council adopted a decision approving the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers as external auditor of the Central Bank of Malta for the financial years 2014 to 2018.

## JUSTICE AND HOME AFFAIRS

## **Europol - Montenegro**

The Council approved the draft agreement on Operational and Strategic Cooperation between Montenegro and the European Police Office (9090/14) with a view to allowing Europol to conclude this agreement.

## FOOD LAW

#### **EFSA** management board members

The Council adopted a decision appointing the seven following persons as members of the management board of the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) for the period from 1 July 2014 to 30 June 2018 (9323/14):

Ms Stella CANNA MICHAELIDOU

Mr Iňaki EGUILEOR

Mr Jan MOUSING

Mr Raymond O'ROURKE

Mr András SZÉKÁCS

Mr Robert Van GORCOM

Mr Pieter VANTHEMSCHE.

Mr O'Rourke has a background in organisations representing consumers, while Mr Mousing and Mr Vanthemsche have a background in organisations representing other interests in the food chain. All three of them are already member of the EFSA management board.

Regulation 178/2002 establishing the EFSA provides that its management board is composed of 14 members out of which four must have a background in organisations representing consumers and other interests in the food chain. The term of office of seven members expires on 30 June out of which three have a background in organisations representing consumers and other interests in the food chain.

#### **TRANSPORT**

#### **SESAR** joint undertaking

The Council adopted a regulation which extends the duration of the SESAR joint undertaking until 31 December 2024 (<u>9391/14</u>; statement: <u>9452/14</u>). The SESAR joint undertaking is a public-private partnership which manages the development of the Single European Sky Air Traffic Management Research (SESAR) project, aimed at modernising air traffic management in Europe.

For details see press release <u>11009/14</u>.

## Shift2Rail joint undertaking

The Council adopted a regulation setting up a public-private partnership called Shift2Rail joint undertaking (9018/14 + 9018/14 COR1); statement 10357/14 ADD 1). The new entity will manage a research and innovation work programme to support the development of better rail services in Europe.

For details, see press release <u>10991/14</u>.

## **NUCLEAR ENERGY**

#### **Association Agreement with Georgia**

The Council approved the conclusion by the European Commission, on behalf of the European Atomic Energy Community, of the Association Agreement between the European Union and the European Atomic Energy Community and their Member States, of the one part, and Georgia, of the other part (8440/14).

As the EU-Georgia Asociation Agreement also covers matters falling under the European Atomic Energy Community competence, it should therefore be concluded, on behalf of the European Atomic Energy Community, as regards matters falling under the Euratom Treaty.

## **Association Agreement with Moldova**

The Council approved the conclusion by the European Commission, on behalf of the European Atomic Energy Community, of the Association Agreement between the European Union and the European Atomic Energy Community and their Member States, of the one part, and Moldova, of the other part (8442/14).

As the EU-Moldova Asociation Agreement also covers matters falling under the European Atomic Energy Community competence, it should therefore be concluded, on behalf of the European Atomic Energy Community, as regards matters falling under the Euratom Treaty.